

## 2006-07 Part C SPP/APR



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 1: Timely Services



- Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 1: Timely Services



- Target: 100.0% (compliance indicator)
- 2005-06: 69.0% (reasons not accounted for)
- 2006-07: 81.5% (not met, but improved)
  - This figure takes into account delays in service delivery that were due to parent/child reasons or team decisions
  - Reasons gathered for approximately 60% of the children who had delayed implementation of services
  - Approximately 46% of the delays were due to acceptable child/family reasons
  - 14% were due to acceptable IFSP team decisions to delay the implementation of an individual service.

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 1: Timely Services



- SPP/APR Highlights:
  - Beginning in 2007-08, every delayed first service will require a reason, similar to the 45-day timeline
  - Dr. Robin McWilliam trainings on primary provider model expected to reduce impact of provider shortages in rural areas
  - While no CAPs were issued, service coordinators are expected to consider compensatory services when service delivery is delayed due to a systems issue
  - Now reviewing annually for SPOEs & DMH

4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 2: Natural Environments



- Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children

5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 2: Natural Environments



- 2006-07 Target: >95.0%
- December 1, 2005: 96.9%
- December 1, 2006: 97.4%
  - Met and improved
- SPP/APR Highlights:
  - Continued high performance on this indicator

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes



- Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:
  - A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
  - B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and
  - C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes



- Explanation of 'buckets' (entry to exit)
  - Bucket 1: Did not improve functioning (learned no new skills)
  - Bucket 2: Learned new skills but gap larger
  - Bucket 3: Learned new skills and gap smaller
  - Bucket 4: Improved functioning to level comparable to same aged peers
  - Bucket 5: Maintained functioning at level comparable to same aged peers.

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes



N=49 children	Positive social-emotional skills	Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs
1: No new skills	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2: New skills but larger gap	16.3%	6.1%	51.0%
3: New skills and closed gap	51.0%	71.4%	26.5%
4: Improved to same-age level	14.3%	20.4%	18.4%
5: Maintained same-age level	18.4%	2.0%	4.1%

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes



- Current data is limited—only for 49 children who were in the program a short period of time (likely 6 months to 1 year)
- Targets to be established for Feb. 2010 APR/SPP
- Not clear what targets we will need to establish (each bucket, combination of buckets, etc.)
- New improvement activities target the validity and reliability of data through trainings and technical assistance

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 4: Family Survey



- Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:
  - A. Know their rights;
  - B. Effectively communicate their children's needs
  - C. Help their children develop and learn.

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Indicator 4: Family Survey



- Changes to 2007 survey
  - Service coordinator script
  - Changes in questions
  - Sampling by OSEDA
- 2006 response rate: 28.1%
- 2007 response rate: 34.2% (DESE and OSEDA combined)

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 4: Family Survey



- 4A: Know their rights
  - Target: >95.0%
  - 2006
    - "I received information and explanations about our family's legal rights (such as due process, procedural safeguards, child complaints)": 93.5% agree or strongly agree.
  - 2007
    - "I received information and explanations about our family's rights to file a child complaint": 91.4% agree or strongly agree.
    - "I received information and explanations about our family's procedural safeguards": 92.7% agree or strongly agree.
    - Overall: 92.3% Not met and not improved

13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 4: Family Survey



- 4B: Effectively communicate their children's needs
  - Target: >95.0%
  - 2006
    - Since being part of First Steps, I know how to work with professionals and advocate for what my child needs": 95.6% agree or strongly agree.
  - 2007
    - Since being part of First Steps, I can work with professionals": 96.5% agree or strongly agree
    - "Since being part of First Steps, I know how to advocate for what my child needs": 94.8% agree or strongly agree
    - Overall, 95.6% Met

14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 4: Family Survey



- 4C: Help their children develop and learn
  - Target: >95.0%
  - 2006
    - Early Intervention services give my family ways to improve my child's development": 98.5% agree or strongly agree.
    - "Early intervention services have increased my family's capacity to enhance my child's development": 97.9% agree or strongly agree.
    - Overall: 98.2%
  - 2007
    - First Steps services give my family the tools to directly improve my child's development": 96.7%, Met

15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 4: Family Survey



- SPP/APR Highlights:
  - Work with OSEDA
    - Sampling vs. census approach showed no difference in responses
    - Response rate for sample was 1 ½ times that of the census
    - No non-response bias indicated
    - Will take into account for 2008 survey
  - Parent Connections newsletter, Summer 2007
  - Adding improvement activity for service coordinator training addressing 4A

16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 5: Child Count (0-1)



- Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs
  - 2006-07 Target: 0.73%
  - December 1, 2005: 0.71% (547 active)
  - December 1, 2006: 0.64% (500 active)
    - Not met and not improved

17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 6: Child Count (0-3)



- Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs
  - 2006-07 Target: 1.57%
  - December 1, 2005: 1.48% (3,376 active)
  - December 1, 2006: 1.37% (3,216 active)
    - Not met and not improved

18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 5 & 6: Child Count



- SPP/APR Highlights

- Decreases attributed to two areas
  - Drop in referrals from February 2005 to January 2006
  - Increase in state population
- Preliminary 12/1/07 data shows increases in child count and referrals have rebounded
- Many RICC activities addressing child find
- Philips and Associates report (Target range of 1.65% to 1.85%)

19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 7: 45-day Timelines



- Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.

20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 7: 45-day Timelines



- Target: 100% (compliance indicator)
- 2005-06: 90.9%
- 2006-07: 95.3% (not met, but improved)
  - Data takes into account delays due to parent/child reasons
  - Approximately 77% of referrals met 45 day timelines
  - Parent/child reasons verified – approximately 19% not valid

21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 7: 45-day Timelines



- SPP/APR Highlights
  - All SPOEs above 90% compliance with timelines
  - Guidance doc on determining reasons coming soon
  - We've come a long way on this one!

22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 8: Transition



- Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:
  - A: IFSPs with transition steps and services
  - B: Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B
  - C: Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B

23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 8: Transition



- Target: 100% (compliance indicators)
- 2005-06: 60.1%, 64.0% and 57.0%
- 2006-07: 92.7%, 90.9% and 78.1%
  - Not met, but improved (vastly!)
  - A & B gathered through file review for 5 SPOEs and 6 DMH Regional Centers
  - C derived from statewide data with adjustments for reasons for delays
    - Approximately half of the delays due to acceptable child/family reasons

24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Indicator 8: Transition



- SPP/APR Highlights
  - 2006-07 first full year of program with no independent service coordinators
  - Lots of training and technical assistance targeted to the area of transition
  - SIG funds used to develop comprehensive Transition Module
  - Correction of previous noncompliance

25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 9: General Supervision



- General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identified and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification

26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 9: General Supervision



- Target: 100% (compliance indicator)
- 2005-06: 95.5%
- 2006-07: 92.4% timely correction from 05-06
  - Not met and not improved
  - 2005-06 reviews included
    - 9 DMH Regional Centers
    - 20 independent service coordinators
    - 52 service providers

27

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicator 9: General Supervision



- SPP/APR Highlights
  - All previous noncompliance has been cleared
  - Slippage from last APR, but due to systems now in place, expect improvement in future

28

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicators 10-13: Dispute Resolution



- Indicator 10 – Timely resolution of child complaints: 3 of 3 = 100%
- Indicator 11 – Timely adjudication of due process hearings: 3 received, none fully adjudicated
- Indicator 12 – Resolution sessions: Not applicable
- Indicator 13 – Mediations: No requests received

29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicators 14: Timely and Accurate Data



- State reported data (618 and SPP/APR) are timely and accurate

30

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicators 14: Timely and Accurate Data



- Target: 100% (compliance indicator)
- 2006-07: 100% (using OSEP's scoring rubric)
  - SPP/APR
    - Valid & reliable (correct time period, consistent with 618 data and measurement, consistent with previous data)
    - Correct calculations
    - Followed instructions
    - Timely submission
  - 618
    - Timely
    - Complete
    - Passed edit checks
    - Responded to data note requests

31

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Indicators 14: Timely and Accurate Data



- SPP/APR Highlights
  - Ongoing data reviews
  - Public reporting of data
  - Continued work with CFO to enhance the data system

32

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---